Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

| Section | A: 0 | Overv | iew |
|---------|------|-------|-----|
|---------|------|-------|-----|

1. Date of Submission: 2011-02-22

2. Agency: 007

3. Bureau: 17

4. Name of this Investment: JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEM

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier (UPI): 007-17-01-14-01-6189-00

- 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2012?: Full Acquisition
 - Planning
 - Full Acquisition
 - Operations and Maintenance
 - Mixed Life Cycle
 - Multi-Agency Collaboration
- 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2001 or earlier

8.

a. Provide a brief summary of the investment and justification, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap, specific accomplishments expected by the budget year and the related benefit to the mission, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment.

JPALS is a Global Positioning System/Inertial Navigation System based system that will provide a rapidly deployable, adverse weather, adverse terrain, day-night precision approach and landing capability for all DOD ground and airborne systems. It is a Navy-led joint program with the Air Force and Army. The sea-based JPALS will replace the obsolete SPN-46 and SPN-35 radar systems. JPALS Increment 1A is an MDAP ACAT 1D program, which includes the development, integration, installation, and testing of the sea-based system and is currently the only active increment for the JPALS program. Specific FY2012 accomplishments will include delivery of six of eight planned Engineering Development Model (EDM)s, delivery of three Avionics Test Kits, and completion of initial flight test of JPALS. These are critical steps towards Increment 1A IOC in FY2014.

b. Provide any links to relevant websites that would be useful to gain additional information on the investment including links to GAO and IG reports.

| Title | Link |
|-------|------|
| | |

NONE

9.

- a. Provide the date of the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approval of this investment.
- b. Provide the date of the most recent or planned approved project charter. 2010-04-14
- 10. Contact information?
 - a. Program/Project Manager Name: *

Phone Number: *

Email: *

b. Business Function Owner Name (i.e. Executive Agent or Investment Owner): Sean Faubion Phone Number: * Email: *

- 11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (choose only one per FAC-P/PM or DAWIA): Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
 - Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
 - Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
 - Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria.
 - Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
 - No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding (In millions of dollars) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

| (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------|---|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------|--|--|
| | PY-1 and earlier | PY 2010 | CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution) | BY 2012 | BY+1 2013 | BY+2 2014 | BY+3 2015 | BY+4 and beyond | Total | | |
| Planning: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| Acquisition: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| Planning & Acquisition Government FTE Costs | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| Subtotal Planning & Acquisition(DME): | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| Operations & Maintenance: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| Disposition Costs (optional): | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| Operations, Maintenance, Disposition Government FTE Costs | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| Subtotal O&M and Disposition Costs (SS): | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| TOTAL FTE Costs | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| TOTAL (not including FTE costs): | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| TOTAL (including FTE costs): | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of FTE represented by | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |

| | Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding (In millions of dollars) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | PY-1 PY CY BY BY+1 BY+2 BY+3 BY+4 Total and 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 and earlier (CY Continuing Resolution) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Costs: | | | | | | | | | | | | |

- 2. Insert the number of years covered in the column "PY-1 and earlier": 8
- 3. Insert the number of years covered in the column "BY+4 and beyond": *
- 4. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2011 President's Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

*

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

| 1. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--|---|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|-------------------|---|--------------------|--|
| | | | | | Table I. | C.1 Contra | icts Table | | | | | | |
| Contract Status | Contracting Agency ID | Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID) | Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID | Solicitation ID | Alternativ e financing | EVM Require d | Ultimate Contract Value (M) | Type of Contract/Ta sk Order (Pricing) | Is the contract a Perform ance Based Service Acquisit ion (PBSA)? | Effective date | Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Ta sk Order | Extent Competed | Short description of acquisition |
| Awarded | | N0017804D4016 | | | * | * | \$2,340,000.0 | Cost Plus Fixed Fee | N | 2004-04-05 | | Y | 200412!0461 38!1700!BW 10S !NSWC DD DAHLGREN !N0017804D 4016 !A!N! !Y! ! !20040405!2 0190404!075 916762!0759 16762!10145 8586!N!ARIN C ENGINEERI NG SERVICES, LL!2551 RIVA ROAD !ANNAPOLIS !MD!21401!8 2000!810!51! Virginia Beach !Virginia Beach (city) !Virginia !+000000000 000!N!N!000 500000000!R 425!Engineer ing Technical |

Page 5 / 17 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

| Contracting Status Status Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID) Polivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID Solicitation ID Status St | |
|--|--|

Services !S1 !SERVICES !333 !LHA (R) !541330!E! !3!B!M!

| Awarded | N0001908C00 | N0001907R0 | * | * | \$232,000,000.0 Combination | Χ | 2008-07-17 | 2014-09-30 | Υ | JPALS SDD |
|---------|-------------|------------|---|---|-----------------------------|---|------------|------------|---|-----------|
| | | 044 | | | (two or more) | | | | | |

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

3.

- a. Has an Acquisition Plan been developed? If yes, please answer the questions that follow *
- b. Does the Acquisition Plan reflect the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 *
- c. Was the Acquisition Plan approved in accordance with agency requirements *
- d.If "yes," enter the date of approval? *
- e.ls the acquisition plan consistent with your agency Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan? *
- f. Does the acquisition plan meet the requirements of EOs 13423 and 13514? *
- $g.\mbox{If an Acquisition Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation.}$

*

Page 6 / 17 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

Part II: IT Capital Investments

Section A: General

- 1.
- a. Confirm that the IT Program/Project manager has the following competencies: configuration management, data management, information management, information resources strategy and planning, information systems/network security, IT architecture, IT performance assessment, infrastructure design, systems integration, systems life cycle, technology awareness, and capital planning and investment control. no
- b.If not, confirm that the PM has a development plan to achieve competencies either by direct experience or education. yes
- 2. Describe the progress of evaluating cloud computing alternatives for service delivery to support this investment. JPALS is Platform IT (PIT) designated. Cloud computing is not applicable. JPALS is an MDAP program. The program office expects to be removed from the MAIS list by the summer of FY2011.
- 3. Provide the date of the most recent or planned Quality Assurance Plan
- 4.
- a. Provide the UPI of all other investments that have a significant dependency on the successful implementation of this investment.
- b.If this investment is significantly dependent on the successful implementation of another investment(s), please provide the UPI(s).
- 5. An Alternatives Analysis must be conducted for all Major Investments with Planning and Acquisition (DME) activities and evaluate the costs and benefits of at least three alternatives and the status quo. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date of the most recent or planned alternatives analysis for this investment. 2007-12-19
- 6. Risks must be actively managed throughout the lifecycle of the investment. The Risk Management Plan and risk register must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date that the risk register was last updated. 2010-09-01

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance

| | | Table | II.B.1. Compariso | n of Actual Work C | Completed and Ac | tual Costs to Curi | rent Approved Bas | eline: | | |
|---|-----------|---|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Description of Activity | DME or SS | Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier | Planned Cost (\$M) | Actual Cost (\$M) | Planned Start Date | Actual Start Date | Planned Completion Date | Actual Completion Date | Planned Percent Complete | Actual Percent Complete |
| NAVY JPALS Inc 1A Sea Based Pre MSB activities; technology maturization, algorithm development, demonstrations with ship, aircraft test platforms, anti-jam development, refined accuracy, fostered competition developing multiple contractors. | | * | \$84.8 | \$84.8 | 1995-01-01 | 1995-01-01 | 2008-01-11 | 2008-07-15 | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| NAVY JPALS Inc 1B MSB activities, such as development/inte gration to enable F/A-18 E/F, EA-18G, MH-60 R/S the ability to utilize the JPALS sea-based system. Development and integration are inclusive of design/build/test for the initial EDM aircraft | DME | • | * | * | 2011-12-01 | * | 2019-09-30 | • | * | * |
| NAVY Inc1A JPALS MSB | DME | * | \$652.0 | \$185.0 | 2008-09-15 | 2008-09-15 | 2014-09-30 | | 42.48% | 42.48% |

Page 8 / 17 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

| | | Table | II.B.1. Compariso | on of Actual Work C | Completed and Act | tual Costs to Curr | ent Approved Bas | eline: | | |
|---|-----------|---|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Description of Activity | DME or SS | Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier | Planned Cost (\$M) | Actual Cost (\$M) | Planned Start Date | Actual Start Date | Planned Completion Date | Actual Completion Date | Planned Percent Complete | Actual Percent Complete |
| Engineering Manufacturing and Development of a sea based JPALS system. Activities include requirements definition, HW design and SW development, development and operation test, development of acft test pallet. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Army Inc1 and Inc2 unique JPALS dev. and integ. efforts. These efforts would cover unique aspects of Army requirements for land based JPALS as well as development and integration of avionics into A/C to allow them to utilize Sea and land based JPALS | | • | \$176.6 | \$13.8 | 2008-10-01 | 2008-10-01 | 2024-09-30 | | 8.00% | 8.00% |
| Air Force JPALS Inc 2 Land Based Pre MSB activities; technology maturation, algorithm development, | | * | \$189.2 | \$126.7 | 1995-01-01 | 1995-01-01 | 2013-09-30 | | 67.00% | 67.00% |

Page 9 / 17 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

| | Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline: | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Description of Activity | DME or SS | Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier | Planned Cost (\$M) | Actual Cost (\$M) | Planned Start Date | Actual Start Date | Planned Completion Date | Actual Completion Date | Planned Percent Complete | Actual Percent Complete | |
| aircraft test platforms, anti-jam development, refined accuracy, fostered competition developing multiple contract | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Air Force JPALS MSB Engineering Manufacturing and Development of a land based JPALS system. Activities include requirements definition, HW design and SW development, development and operation test, development of aircraft test pallet. | | * | * | * | 2013-10-01 | * | 2017-09-30 | * | * | * | |

- 2. If the investment cost, schedule, or performance variances are not within 10 percent of the current baseline, provide a complete analysis of the reasons for the variances, the corrective actions to be taken, and the most likely estimate at completion. N/A
- 3. For mixed lifecycle or operations and maintenance investments an Operational Analysis must be performed annually. Operational analysis may identify the need to redesign or modify an asset by identifying previously undetected faults in design, construction, or installation/integration, highlighting whether actual operation and maintenance costs vary significantly from budgeted costs, or documenting that the asset is failing to meet program requirements. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Insert the date of the most recent or planned operational analysis.
- 4. Did the Operational analysis cover all 4 areas of analysis: Customer Results, Strategic and Business Results, Financial Performance, and Innovation?

Page 10 / 17 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

Section C: Financial Management Systems

| Table II.C.1: Financial Management Systems | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| System(s) Name | System acronym | Type of Financial System | BY Funding | | | | | |

Section D: Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (For Multi-Agency Collaborations only) **Table II.D.1. Customer Table: Customer Agency** Joint exhibit approval date NONE **Table II.D.2. Shared Service Providers Shared Service Asset Title** Shared Service Provider Exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2011) **Shared Service Provider (Agency)** Table II.D.3. For IT Investments, Partner Funding Strategies (\$millions): Partner Partner exhibit 53 UPI **BY Monetary** Fee-for-Service Agency (BY 2012) Fee-for-Service NONE Table II.D.4. Legacy Systems Being Replaced Name of the Legacy Date of the System **Current UPI**

Page 12 / 17 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

Section E: Performance Information

| | | | Table I.E.1a. Performa | ance Metric Attributes | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|--|
| Measurement Area (For IT Assets) | Measurement Grouping (For IT Assets) | Measurement Indicator | Reporting Frequency | Unit of Measure | Performance Measure Direction | Baseline | Year Baseline Established for this measure (Origination Date) |
| Mission and Business Results | System Development | Guidance Quality | monthly | Feet and Nautical Miles (NM) | Maintain Current | Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) | 2008-07-14 |
| | | | Fiscal Year | Target | Actual Results | Target "Met" or "Not Met" | Last Updated |
| | | | 2010 | Certification for operations in 0 ft ceiling and 0 NM visibility conditions. | System Development & Demonstration Contract now referred to as the Engineering and Manufacturing Development was awarded July 2008 to meet the Key Performance Parameters outlined in the approved Acquisition Program Baseline. | Met | 2011-02-11 |
| Mission and Business Results | System Development | Guidance Quality | monthly | Feet and Nautical Miles (NM) | Maintain current | Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) | 2008-07-14 |
| | | | Fiscal Year | Target | Actual Results | Target "Met" or "Not Met" | Last Updated |
| | | | 2011 | Certification for operations in 0 ft ceiling and 0 NM visibility conditions. | System Development & Demonstration Contract now referred to as the Engineering and Manufacturing Development was awarded July 2008 to meet the Key Performance Parameters outlined in the approved Acquisition Program Baseline. | Met | 2011-02-11 |
| Mission and Business | System Development | Guidance Quality | monthly | Feet and Nautical Miles | Maintain current | Acquisition Program | 2008-07-14 |

Page 13 / 17 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

| Results | | | | (NM) | | Baseline (APB) | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|--|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | | | Fiscal Year | Target | Actual Results | Target "Met" or "Not Met" | Last Updated |
| | | | 2012 | Certification for operations in 0 ft ceiling and 0 NM visibility conditions. | System Development & Demonstration Contract now referred to as the Engineering and Manufacturing Development was awarded July 2008 to meet the Key Performance Parameters outlined in the approved Acquisition Program Baseline. | Met | 2011-02-11 |
| Customer Results | System Development | Manpower | monthly | Billet | Maintain current | Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) | 2008-07-14 |
| | | | Fiscal Year | Target | Actual Results | Target "Met" or "Not Met" | Last Updated |
| | | | 2010 | Should reduce current manning levels when currently fielded systems are phased out & require no dedicated personnel. Should be reduced to no more than one qualified air traffic controller. | System Development & Demonstration Contract now referred to as the Engineering and Manufacturing Development was awarded July 2008 to meet the Key Performance Parameters outlined in the approved Acquisition Program Baseline. | Met | 2011-02-11 |
| Mission and Business Results | System Development | Manpower | monthly | Billet | Maintain current | Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) | 2008-07-14 |
| | | | Fiscal Year | Target | Actual Results | Target "Met" or "Not Met" | Last Updated |
| | | | 2011 | Should reduce current manning levels when currently fielded systems are phased out & require no dedicated personnel. Should be reduced to no | System Development & Demonstration Contract now referred to as the Engineering and Manufacturing Development was | Met | 2011-02-11 |

Page 14 / 17 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

| | | | | more than one qualified air traffic controller. | awarded July 2008 to meet the Key Performance Parameters outlined in the approved Acquisition Program Baseline. | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--|-------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Customer Results | System Development | Manpower | monthly | Billet | Maintain current | Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) | 2008-07-14 |
| | | | Fiscal Year | Target | Actual Results | Target "Met" or "Not Met" | Last Updated |
| | | | 2012 | Should reduce current manning levels when currently fielded systems are phased out & require no dedicated personnel. Should be reduced to no more than one qualified air traffic controller. | System Development & Demonstration Contract now referred to as the Engineering and Manufacturing Development was awarded July 2008 to meet the Key Performance Parameters outlined in the approved Acquisition Program Baseline. | Met | 2011-02-11 |
| Processes and Activities | System Development | Network Ready: Must support Net-Centric military operations & exchange data in a secure manner & continuously provide survivable, inter-operable, secure, and operationally effective information exchanges to enable a Net-Centric military capability. | monthly | Yes or No | Maintain current | Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) | 2008-07-14 |
| | | | Fiscal Year | Target | Actual Results | Target "Met" or "Not Met" | Last Updated |
| | | | 2010 | System must fully support execution of joint critical operational activities identified in applicable joint and system integrated | System Development & Demonstration Contract now referred to as the Engineering and Manufacturing Development was | Met | 2011-02-11 |

Page 15 / 17 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

| | | | | architectures and the system must satisfy the technical requirements for transition to Net-Centric military operations. | awarded July 2008 to meet the Key Performance Parameters outlined in the approved Acquisition Program Baseline. | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--|-------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Processes and Activities | System Development | Network Ready: Must support Net-Centric military operations & exchange data in a secure manner & continuously provide survivable, inter-operable, secure, and operationally effective information exchanges to enable a Net-Centric military capability. | monthly | Yes or No | Maintain current | Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) | 2008-07-14 |
| | | | Fiscal Year | Target | Actual Results | Target "Met" or "Not Met" | Last Updated |
| | | | 2011 | Analysis shows that the tactical JPALS can support category three landing requirements | System Development & Demonstration Contract now referred to as the Engineering and Manufacturing Development was awarded July 2008 to meet the Key Performance Parameters outlined in the approved Acquisition Program Baseline. | Met | 2011-02-11 |
| Processes and Activities | System Development | Network Ready: Must support Net-Centric military operations & exchange data in a secure manner & continuously provide survivable, inter-operable, secure, and operationally effective information exchanges to enable a Net-Centric military | monthly | Yes or No | Maintain current | Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) | 2008-07-14 |

Page 16 / 17 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

capability.

| Fiscal Year | Target | Actual Results | Target "Met" or "Not Met" | Last Updated |
|-------------|---|--|------------------------------|--------------|
| 2012 | System must fully support execution of joint critical operational activities identified in applicable joint and system integrated architectures and the system must satisfy the technical requirements for transition to Net-Centric military operations. | System Development & Demonstration Contract now referred to as the Engineering and Manufacturing Development was awarded July 2008 to meet the Key Performance Parameters outlined in the approved Acquisition Program Baseline. | Met | 2011-02-11 |

Page 17 / 17 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

^{* -} Indicates data is redacted.